

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****JAN 13 2006****CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION  
UNDER 37 CFR §1.8**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted on the date indicated below via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

Date: 01-13-06Marianne Boland  
Marianne Boland

In Re Application of:

James

Confirmation No.: 2468

Group Art Unit: 2863

Serial No.: 10/735,479

Examiner: Pretlow, Demetrius R.

Filed: December 12, 2003

Docket No. 190250-1530

For: REMOTE DC PLANT MONITORING SYSTEM

**Issue Fee Transmittal****Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance****Credit Card Authorization – Authorizing \$1700.00****(\$1400.00 for issue fee and \$300.00 for publication fee)****Total Pages Transmitted (including cover sheet) – 5****BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****JAN 13 2006****PATENTS****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: )  
James )  
Serial No: 10/735,479 ) Art Unit: 2863  
Filed: December 12, 2003 ) Examiner: Pretlow, Demetrius R.  
For: Remote DC Plant Monitoring System ) Docket No.: 190250-1530

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Statement of Reasons for Allowance includes some statements that may be viewed as an oversimplification of the examination issues, and if taken out of context, could give rise to an improper interpretation of the claims as well as the file history. For these reasons, Applicant provides the following comments.

First, Applicant asserts that there are multiple grounds supporting allowance of the presently pending claims, including grounds in addition to those stated in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance. Accordingly, it should not be assumed that Applicant agrees with the accuracy of the characterizations of the cited references and the claim elements in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Second, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. Section 282: "Each claim of a patent (whether in independent, dependent, or multiple dependent form) shall be presumed valid independently of the validity of other claims; dependent or multiple dependent claims shall be presumed valid even though dependent upon an invalid claim." Thus, any dependent claims that are not addressed by the Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not rise or fall, when construed in terms of validity, with their respective independent claims, but instead should be construed independently of their respective independent claims.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Third, the scope and validity of each claim (whether in independent, dependent, or multiple dependent form) should be determined based upon the entire combination of elements/features/steps in each claim, as opposed to only the particular feature or features pointed out by the Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Charles W. Griggers, Reg. No. 47,283  
Attorney for Applicant

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER  
& RISLEY, L.L.P.**

100 Galleria Parkway, Suite 1750  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948  
Telephone: (770) 933-9500